

Supreme Court - Daily Orders

Abhishek Kumar Singh vs G. Pattanaik on 3 June, 2021

1

ITEM NO.1502

Court 4 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION III-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 625-626/2019 in C.A. No. 11017- 11018/2018

ABHISHEK KUMAR SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

G. PATTANAİK & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH CONMT.PET.(C) No. 642-643/2019 in C.A. No. 11017-11018/2018 (III-A) CONMT.PET.(C) No. 671-672/2019 in C.A. No. 11017-11018/2018 (III-A) W.P.(C) No. 491/2020 (X) CONMT.PET.(C) No. 395-396/2020 in C.A. No. 11017-11018/2018 (III-A) CONMT.PET.(C) No. 408-409/2020 in C.A. No. 11017-11018/2018 (III-A) CONMT.PET.(C) No. 598-599/2020 in C.A. No. 11017-11018/2018 (III-A) T.P.(C) No. 1209/2020 (XVI-A) CONMT.PET.(C) No. 669-670/2020 in C.A. No. 11017-11018/2018 (III-A) CONMT.PET.(C) No. 671-672/2020 in C.A. No. 11017-11018/2018 (III-A) Date : 03-06-2021 These matters were called on for pronouncement of judgment today For Parties:

Mr. Kumar Shivam, Adv.

Mr. Mayank Sapre, Adv.

Mr. Mohd. Atif, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Anil Rathi, AOR Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, AOR Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR Signature Not Verified Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, AOR Digitally signed by DEEPAK SINGH Date: 2021.06.03 14:47:03 IST Reason: Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Kamendra Mishra, AOR Ms. Sansriti Pathak, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, AOR Mrs. Pragya Baghel, AOR Honble Mr. Justice A.M. Khanwilkar pronounced the judgment of the Bench comprising His Lordship and Honble Mr. Justice B.R. Gavai. These petitions are disposed of in terms of the signed reportable judgment, with the following directions:

(1) Show-cause notices issued in the respective contempt petitions stand discharged.
Contempt petitions are dismissed;

(2) The transfer petition stands rejected, as a result of which the writ petitions referred to therein will now proceed before the High Court in terms of this judgment;

(3) The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners therein including applicants in intervention/impleadment applications to pursue their remedy before the High Court by way of writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution, if so advised. That writ petition be decided on its own merits in accordance with law keeping in mind the observations made in this judgment along with other pending or fresh writ petitions involving similar issues; and (4) We request the High Court to take up all writ petitions involving overlapping issues together for analogous hearing expeditiously. We leave all contentions open except the issues decided in this judgment.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SINGH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

[Signed reportable judgment is placed on the file]